



Typ: Online-Fernleihe
Medea-Nummer: 375087
Bestelldatum: 19.08.2004 12:00
Eingangsdatum: 19.08.2004 12:00
Liefertermin:

Besteller: Bochum UB <294> (Benutzung.UB@ruhr-uni-bochum.de)
Universitätsbibliothek Bochum
Universitätsstr. 150
44801 Bochum

Externe Nummer:
TAN: FTLNP801
Benutzer: 108001218873, 101001218873
Kostenübernahme:
Hinweise:

Zeitschrift: Journal of intensive care medicine
Körperschaft:
Ort:
Aufsatzautor: Nylan, E.S. et al.
Aufsatztitel: Endocrine changes in critical illness
Jahrgang: 2004
Band/Heft: 19, 2
Seiten: 67-82

Lieferant: Köln ZBMed <38 M>

Sigel: 38 M - Bestand: 1.1986 - # Standort: > Zs.A 2158 <

weitere Lieferanten: [1.] Bochum UB <294>

Urheberrechtshinweis: Mit der Entgegennahme der Lieferung ist der Empfänger verpflichtet, die gesetzlichen Urheberrechtsbestimmungen zu beachten.

Endocrine Changes in Critical Illness

Eric S. Nylen, MD
Beat Muller, MD

The homeostatic corrections that have emerged in the course of human evolution to cope with catastrophic events involve a complex multisystem endeavor, of which the endocrine contribution is an integral component. Although the repertoire of endocrine changes has been probed in some detail, discerning the vulnerabilities and failure of this system is far more challenging. The ensuing endocrine topics illustrate some of the current issues reflecting attempts to gain an improved insight and clinical outcome for critical illness.

Key words: critical illness, cortisol, absolute hypoadrenalism, relative hypoadrenalism, cortisol replacement, stress hyperglycemia, stress metabolism, hyperglycemic therapy, non-thyroidal illness, hypothyroid, thyroid replacement, growth hormone resistance, mortality, immune effects, metabolic effects

Appropriate adaptation in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is essential for survival. Although complete adrenal insufficiency is rare in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, there is an ongoing vigorous debate regarding the prevalence, diagnosis, treatment, and outcome of "relative adrenal insufficiency." Despite the renaissance in promoting the use of "physiological" doses of glucocorticoids, a conservative approach should be weighted against potential benefits of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid administration in selected critically ill patients.

Hyperglycemia is a common metabolic feature of severe stress and is becoming recognized as a harbinger of the severity and outcome of illness. Although there are several potential causes of stress

hyperglycemia, the effects of counterregulatory hormones and proinflammatory cytokines predominate. Furthermore, enhanced oxidative stress and stress-signaling pathway activation engender a toxic metabolic milieu for all cells including the pancreatic beta cell. Studies have shown that reversing hyperglycemia and insulin resistance reduces mortality; these findings require confirmatory trials.

Adjustments in the thyroid axis in critical illness include a rapid decrease in T₃, the bioactive thyroid hormone. Despite decreased T₃ in peripheral tissue sites, the TSH often remain near normal and there are no compelling data to administer thyroid hormone in the so-called euthyroid sick syndrome. The clinical challenge is to uncover and treat true thyroid dysfunction in the midst of multisystem abnormalities with a concomitant hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid setpoint adjustment.

The catabolic events associated with critical illness along with the recognition of major alterations in the growth hormone-insulin growth factor-1 axis have led to studies attempting to overcome the apparent resistance using exogenous recombinant growth hormone. Although previous studies were typically anabolically salutary, 2 recent large, randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials revealed increased mortality and morbidity. The cause for this adverse outcome is not known but has curtailed the use of growth hormone to bona fide growth hormone deficient subjects and those with less than fulminant catabolic stress.

In summary, endocrine changes associated with glucocorticoids, thyroid hormones, glucose/insulin, and growth hormone have been reviewed. Each hormonal system reveals characteristic changes that can be of diagnostic and prognostic significance. In the early stages of critical illness, however, it may be inadvisable to pharmacologically intervene, most likely related to the overlapping actions between the endocrine and immune systems. Intervention in the parallel metabolic changes such as stress hyperglycemia, however, shows promise for improved outcome.

From the Department of Medicine, Section of Endocrinology, George Washington School of Medicine and VAMC, Washington, DC (ESN) and the Department of Medicine, Section of Endocrinology, University Hospitals, Basel, Switzerland (BM).

Received Apr 22, 2003, and in revised form Jul 21, 2003. Accepted for publication Aug 11, 2003.

Address correspondence to Eric S. Nylen, MD, Professor in Medicine, George Washington University School of Medicine, VA Medical Center, 50 Irving St, NW, Rm GE246, Washington, DC 20422, or e-mail: nylen4@aol.com.

Nylen ES, Muller B. Endocrine changes in critical illness. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2004;19:67-82.

DOI: 10.1177/0885066603259551

Steroids in Sepsis—Re-resurrection of the Last Rites?

Although there is general agreement about the dangers of absolute adrenal insufficiency, there is no such unanimity in defining putative states of hypoadrenalism such as “relative adrenal insufficiency.” This is particularly the case in identifying the patients at risk who may require “supportive” cortisol replacement therapy during stress. Improved diagnostic criteria and validation by a demonstration of a survival benefit in selected, pre-defined patients continue to be of paramount clinical importance to avoid previous mistakes.

Normal Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) Physiology

The primary glucocorticoid in humans is cortisol, which is essential for life. The central nervous system senses stress from a variety of integrated inputs (e.g., neurological or hormonal signals) and sends information to the hypothalamus, which increases the release of corticotropin-releasing-hormone (CRH). CRH, probably in concert with other humoral substances (eg, vasopressin), stimulates the release of pituitary corticotropin (ACTH), which subsequently stimulates the diurnal synthesis and release of glucocorticoids. The HPA axis exerts a pivotal role for survival in stress [1]. Conversely, adrenal insufficiency accompanied with lack of cortisol is life threatening.

HPA Axis in Critical illness

The acute adaptive adrenal response to stress is a shift away from mineralocorticoid production to an up to 6-fold increase in glucocorticoid production. In addition, in acute illness, the biological effects of cortisol increase because of a decrease in cortisol binding globulin (CBG) and an increase in receptor sensitivity [2,3]. This acute phase typically lasts for a few hours or days. During the subsequent prolonged phase, there is dissociation between high plasma cortisol and low ACTH levels, suggesting non-ACTH-mediated mechanisms for the regulation of the adrenal cortex. This hypercortisolism contrasts with the very low dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEAS) level, indicating an imbalance between the immunostimulatory (DHEA) and immunosuppressive (cortisol) adrenocortical hormones [2]. In addition, prelimi-

nary data on postmortem samples of critically ill patients reveal evidence for a tissue-specific alternative splicing of glucocorticoid receptors and a down-regulating effect of HPA activation [4]. Increased circulating cortisol levels seem to reflect an increasing severity of illness [5], and mortality of untreated adrenal insufficiency increases with the severity of the acute stress [1]. Importantly, the interindividual range of measured serum cortisol in a given stress situation is very wide. This dispersion of results complicates the differentiation of an abnormal from a normal adrenal response in the course of acute illness.

Defining Adrenal Insufficiency

Two subgroups of adrenal insufficiency can be differentiated. In absolute adrenal insufficiency, a cortisol increase does not occur, because of malfunction in the HPA axis (eg, after autoimmune adrenalitis or glucocorticoid therapy). It is considered to be present when “basal” (or unstressed) cortisol levels are < 100 nmol/L (< 3.6 µg/dL) and “stimulated” values (eg, after ACTH-stimulation) are < 500 to 550 nmol/L (< 17.9 to 19.6 µg/dL) [6]. During severe illness, comorbidities such as head injury or adrenal hemorrhage, pharmacological agents (eg, etomidate, opioids), or inflammatory mediators (eg, tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukins) can impair the proper stress response of the HPA axis [7]. The term “relative” or “functional” adrenal insufficiency has been proposed for hypotensive, septic critically ill patients who are resistant to the administration of catecholamines, but show hemodynamic improvement to cortisol administration in the absence of factors known to impair HPA axis [8]. In these patients, the cortisol levels (despite being within the normal reference range or even elevated > 550 nmol/L [> 19.6 µg/dL]) are still considered to be inadequate for the severe stress, and the patient may be unable to respond to any additional or protracted stress [9]. Another facet of relative adrenal insufficiency may involve an inflammation-induced restraint of the HPA axis, which includes glucocorticoid resistance at target tissues that can be ameliorated by diminishing the proinflammatory milieu [10,11]. Thus, relative adrenal insufficiency, if present, represents a reversible dysfunction of the entire HPA axis, that is, the syndrome is transient and reverses with recovery from critical illness. It is important to recognize inadequate adrenal cortisol production since there is increasing evidence that relative adrenal insufficiency is associated with a worsened outcome [8] and, thus, has

potential therapeutic implications. In this respect, treatment of septic patients with corticosteroids has undergone a renaissance, although its beneficial effects remain unproven and controversial [12-14].

Tests for adrenal dysfunction. There is an ongoing debate concerning the identification of patients at risk of and the definition of relative adrenal insufficiency. Clinical features and laboratory findings such as vitiligo, depression, fatigue, nausea, abdominal pain, hemodynamic instability, unexplained fever, hyponatremia, hypoglycemia, and eosinophilia are nonspecific and must be placed in the clinical context by the treating physician. The diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion together with a large interindividual range of circulating cortisol levels during severe illness and stress make it impossible, and possibly even misleading, to define an absolute serum cortisol threshold level that would identify a patient with functional failure of the HPA axis in critical illness. Hence, various laboratory stimulation tests have been proposed, yet none of them offers unequivocal results. The best consensus reference standard for the diagnosis of integral failure of the HPA axis ("absolute adrenal insufficiency") is the insulin-induced hypoglycemia test (ITT = insulin tolerance test) [15]. An increase of circulating cortisol, during symptomatic hypoglycemia (ie, plasma glucose levels < 2.2 mmol/L [< 40 mg/dL]) after intravenous administration of insulin, is used as the outcome measure. An absolute cortisol increase to circulating values > 550 nmol/L (> 19.6 μ g/dL) typically together with a relative increase of > 200 - 250 nmol/L (> 7.1 - 8.9 μ g/dL) is considered a normal "stress-competent" response. However, in critically ill patients, circulating "basal" cortisol levels may greatly surpass these levels (exceeding 1000 nmol/L [35.7 μ g/dL]). Furthermore, there are no prospective follow-up data to validate the diagnostic accuracy of the ITT. The ITT is also unpleasant for the patient, resource intensive, and potentially dangerous (and thus contraindicated in patients with ischemic heart disease and epilepsy). It is inadvisable in children and the elderly, and certainly not feasible in critically ill patients [16].

Simpler tests for the diagnosis of primary adrenal insufficiency include a basally elevated plasma ACTH with respect to cortisol levels. However, ACTH has a short half-life, and its determination is cumbersome. Furthermore, stimulation tests to evaluate pituitary ACTH-reserve (eg, using metyrapone) are not feasible in critically ill patients [17]. In children with meningococcal disease, circulating ACTH levels are high upon admission but

decline rapidly within 24 hours, suggesting a pituitary exhaustion or a down-regulation of the HPA axis [18]. Another simple, and widely used, test is stimulation with synthetic ACTH1-24 (Synacthen®). In hypotensive critically ill patients, a "basal" cortisol of > 935 nmol/L (> 33.4 μ g/dL) combined with an increase of cortisol < 250 nmol/L (< 8.9 μ g/dL) after 250 μ g ACTH stimulation has been proposed to represent relative adrenal insufficiency [5]. However, the 250 μ g ACTH stimulation test induces supraphysiological ACTH concentrations, giving false-normal cortisol responses. Therefore, it has a lower sensitivity, especially in identifying acute-onset and mild secondary adrenal insufficiency [19-21]. The 1 μ g synthetic ACTH1-24-test has been suggested to be more sensitive to diagnose adrenocortical insufficiency. One microgram has been found to be the lowest ACTH dose capable of inducing a maximal cortisol response [22]. The results of the 1 μ g synthetic ACTH1-24-test correlate well with the ITT test results [23].

Most of the circulating cortisol is bound to CBG; only a small fraction is free for receptor binding and, hence, biologically active. In patients with septic shock, CBG levels are very low after 7 to 8 days of severe illness [9]. Since a decrease of CBG increases the biologically active fraction of cortisol, CBG levels need to be considered in the evaluation of the HPA axis [24]. In this regard, the measurement of free cortisol in the serum is now becoming available. Another confounding local factor that needs future diagnostic and therapeutic consideration is the activity of glucocorticoid metabolism by the 11- β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase system.

Cortisol Replacement

The wide range of the measured concentrations of cortisol in critical illness and the lack of controlled studies in patients with absolute adrenal insufficiency make it difficult to define what constitutes the "normal and necessary" adrenal response and cortisol production. Fortunately, absolute adrenal insufficiency is an uncommon event in the ICU. Relative adrenal insufficiency, however, has been reported to occur in as many as 55% to 75% of ICU patients with refractory septic shock; this has fueled an ongoing debate concerning the appropriate treatment. The presumed "physiological" dose of glucocorticoids of 300 mg per day, even if administered as a continuous IV infusion, leads to supraphysiological circulating cortisol levels as compared to untreated critically ill patients [25]. Notably, cortisol production in septic shock cannot

be suppressed by steroids [26]; this has led investigators to question whether a supraphysiological dose of glucocorticoid is more beneficial than what is secreted endogenously, and this highly controversial question has been debated for a half century. A historical perspective is instructive: when adrenal corticosteroids were first introduced some 50 years ago, their use in clinical infection was accompanied by rapid defervescence and improved hemodynamic parameters, but also by evidence that the underlying pathologic process had not been ameliorated and in some cases made worse [27]. Nevertheless, the clinical frustrations of dealing with severe sepsis and its high mortality rate led clinicians to use corticosteroids. In a multicenter trial, patients with life-threatening infections received cortisol in doses that were then considered very large—300 mg per day. The results were disappointing: there were more deaths among patients who received cortisol than among those who received placebo [28,29]. A significant excess of side effects occurred, particularly hemorrhage and secondary infection. With time, due to the obvious ineffectiveness of a dose of 300 mg per day, larger doses of corticosteroids began to be used. The problems of anecdotal evidence, clinical variability of the syndrome of sepsis, small sample size, and suboptimal study design were addressed sporadically and inconsistently. Fortunately, as before, the initial enthusiasm was followed by more carefully designed studies. The results of studies using large doses of corticosteroids—cumulative doses of several grams—demonstrated again the harmful effects of these drugs in systemic infections [30,31]. The increased mortality was, once again, caused by an excess of hemorrhage and secondary infections. Meta-analysis of the published evidence offered little room for continued enthusiasm [32].

Rites are prone to become resurrected; this is currently exemplified by the reemergence of the concept of “relative adrenal insufficiency” [5] and the promotion of “low-dose” (ie, 100 to 300 mg per day) corticosteroid therapy. Once again, initial trials showed promising trends in subgroups of patients with sepsis [14,25,33]. Mostly the beneficial effects were restricted to improvements in hemodynamics and a reduction in the need for vasopressor therapy. One trial found also a marginal reduction of mortality, however, only in patients with impaired response of cortisol < 250 nmol/L (< 8.9 ug/dL) 30 minutes after the administration of synthetic ACTH [14]. Unfortunately, this led some

clinicians to administer hydrocortisone to a very heterogeneous group of critical ill patients, mostly without HPA testing, and without co-administration of mineralocorticoids; the beneficial effect of steroids remains indeed unproven, and a conservative approach is more prudent. Notwithstanding the current enthusiasm, which often overlooks “pre-medline” literature, there is little definitive advice to offer concerning the use of pharmacologic doses of glucocorticoids in critical illness, in general, and in critically ill patients who meet some of the aforementioned contradictory basal cortisol criteria for “relative adrenal insufficiency” or the contradictory post-ACTH serum cortisol criteria for “functional hypoadrenalism.” The alleged benefits should be weighted against the potential dangers of such therapy, such as hyperglycemia. The marked reduction of the harmful hyperglycemia in critically ill patients by insulin should be an additional cause for concern [34].

Summary

It is unfortunate that no strict biochemical criteria defining a “normal” serum cortisol or ACTH levels or an adequate cortisol response to ACTH exists. It is a dilemma for which there is no recourse other than to rely on a clinical assessment of the severity of the stress, to evaluate the presence of masked or misleading clinical symptoms, and to estimate the adequacy of the measured cortisol concentration, all of which must be placed in a clinical context. In view of both the frequency of absolute and relative adrenal insufficiency and the ongoing debate about “supportive” cortisol therapy in critically ill patients with presumed relative adrenal insufficiency, this unresolved question is of paramount clinical importance and needs to be further investigated. In the interim, the clinician is advised to be ever more vigilant for cues of adrenal dysfunction, such as unexplained eosinophilia [9]. In certain conditions, such as tuberculosis, meningitis, typhoid fever, and pneumocystis pneumonia in AIDS, the use of glucocorticoids appears less controversial [35,36]. If so indicated, a limited course of physiological stress doses of glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids [14] can be considered in selected high-risk patients, predominantly in septic shock patients, while awaiting confirmatory results of HPA testing. Steroid therapy should be stopped if results of HPA testing become available and do not indicate the presence of adrenal insufficiency.

Stress Hyperglycemia—The Tip of the Inflammatory Iceberg

Acute hyperglycemic response to stress has been recognized since Claude Bernard's observations more than a century ago [37]; this "diabetes of injury" appears to exemplify the obligatory metabolic rearrangements required to cope with critical stress. This concept is evolving, however, as glucose is becoming identified as a potential metabolic mirror of the severity and outcome of critical illness. Importantly, as illustrated in this summary, the ongoing elucidation of the pathophysiology associated with abnormal glucose levels will buttress the clinical approach to this vexing problem.

Prevalence. The estimate of the prevalence of stress hyperglycemia (SH), defined as hyperglycemia in previously euglycemic patients that corrects once the acute process resolves, is highly variable. This occurs because of an inconsistently applied definition and the co-mingling of patients with new onset or unrecognized diabetes mellitus (DM). The estimated rate range varies from 3% to 70% in adults with myocardial infarction (MI) [38] (although if based on HbA_{1c}, the rate was 4.3%) [39]. The range of SH prevalence in patients with a stroke is similarly wide (10% to 40%) (up to 50% having an elevated HgbA_{1c}) [40]. SH may be seen in about 3% to 5% of patients in pediatric emergency room visits or admissions [41,42]. In a recent retrospective chart analysis, a 38% rate of hyperglycemia was noted upon hospital admission (fasting glucose of 6.9 mmol/L [126 mg/dL]) or random glucose of 11 mmol/L (200 mg/dL). Twenty-six percent of these patients had known DM, and 12% had no history of DM [43]. In another study, 13% among subjects with glucose > 6.1-11 mmol/L (> 110-200 mg/dL) had known diabetes [34]. In early sepsis, the prevalence of hyperglycemia approaches 50% [44].

Severity and outcome. Although hyperglycemia was once considered a compensatory response, it imposes a range of adverse effects including abnormal immune function [45], increased infection rate [46], and hemodynamic and electromyocardial disturbances [47]. A number of studies have shown a direct relationship between the extent of SH and severity and outcome (including mortality). For example, the severity of illness has been shown to be correlated to the degree of hyperglycemia in surgery, trauma, shock, stroke, and MI [48]. Insulin resistance also correlates to the severity of stress

[49]. Outcome has been correlated with SH in MI, stroke, and head injury. In one meta-analysis, elevated glucose (mean glucose 8.0 ± 3.0 mmol/L [146 ± 54 mg/dL]) was a significant predictor of nonfatal reinfarction, hospitalization for congestive heart failure (CHF), and a major cardiovascular event [50]. Moreover, 1-year mortality (44% vs 19.3%) was significantly correlated to glucose in a multivariate analysis of post-MI patients [51]. In another meta-analysis, in-hospital mortality was 3.9-fold increased in nondiabetics with SH as compared with diabetics who had lower glucose levels [38]. A similar increased risk of adverse outcome for patients with SH as compared with diabetics was observed among admitted patients [43]. In this study, hyperglycemia was associated with an increased ICU admission rate. Burned children with hyperglycemia (> 7.7 mmol/L [> 140 mg/dL]) had an increased incidence of positive blood cultures, lower percent graft take, and higher mortality [52]. Following ischemic stroke, a glucose level of > 6.1-6.9 mmol/L (110-126 mg/dL) increased the relative risk of death by 3.28 [40].

Causes of Hyperglycemia

It is well recognized that glucose concentration is maintained in a rather narrow range (fasting; 3.9-6.1 mmol/L [70-110 mg/dL] and postprandial < 7.7 mmol/L [< 140 mg/dL]), although the clinical importance attached to the upper range has not been considered as relevant. A multitude of factors contribute to the hyperglycemia found in ICU patients. These include lack of muscular activity, aging, the use of dextrose solutions and certain drugs (eg, catecholamines, glucocorticoids, thiazides, highly active antiretroviral therapy, phenytoin, tacrolimus, cyclosporine), underlying medical conditions (eg, obesity, pancreatitis, cirrhosis), and the debut of diabetes itself. Hypokalemia is an important overlooked source of diminished insulin release.

Stress metabolism. Severe stress, including injury and sepsis, initiates a neurohormonal response involving counterregulatory hormones, the response to which is dependent on both time and type of stress. Sympathetic activity raises glucose by enhanced glycogenolysis, which is correlated to the degree of trauma and level of epinephrine. A continuous 72-hour infusion of a combination of hydrocortisone, glucagon, and epinephrine resulted in hypermetabolism (catabolism of proteins and

fat), negative nitrogen balance, hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and insulin resistance [53]. In vitro, hepatocytes appear to respond to counter-regulatory stress hormones by increased synthesis of certain protective acute phase proteins [54]. The regulation of carbohydrate metabolism is markedly altered such that the overall whole-body production of carbohydrates is increased and channeled toward immune-related activities of inflammation, wound-healing, and immune cell function(s). The liver becomes insensitive to autoregulation by glucose itself [55] and insulin; glucose production and lactate extraction are typically increased by 2-fold; and the glycerol contribution increases by 20% [56]. The uptake of glucose is near maximal at noninsulin sensitive immune-related sites, reflecting up-regulation of glucose transporters (ie, GLUT 1). Thus, the stressed patient is characterized by fasting and postprandial hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and stimulation of hepatic glucose production. Insulin levels, albeit elevated, are relatively low. In this regard, experimental studies suggest that insulin signaling is defective; lipopolysaccharide (LPS) exposure diminishes phosphorylation of the insulin receptor, insulin receptor substrate-1, and MAP kinase [57].

Cytokines. Many of the metabolic changes associated with severe stress arise from interrelated effects of proinflammatory cytokines (eg, tumor necrosis factor [TNF], interleukin-1, and interleukin-6 [IL-6]) and the counterregulatory hormones. For example, TNF-induced insulin resistance and diminished insulin release can explain many of the changes noted in stress metabolism [48,58,59]. Members of the calcitonin gene family of peptides, which are increased in systemic inflammation, can also induce insulin resistance [60]. Another way in which cytokines can promote hyperglycemia is the well-known activation of the entire hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [61]. Insulin itself may be involved as euglycemic hyperinsulinemia augmented IL-6, growth hormone, and cortisol responses following an LPS challenge to healthy subjects [62]. Nonetheless, other studies suggest that insulin has anti-inflammatory properties (see below).

On the other hand, hyperglycemia can affect cytokine expression. Raising glucose levels in healthy subjects to 14.9 mmol/L (270 mg/dL) (while suppressing endogenous insulin by octreotide) increased IL-6, TNF- α , and interleukin-8 within 2 hours, an effect which lasted for 1 hour [63]. This response was not seen when the antioxidant glutathione was co-infused. Glucose loading

in fasted rabbits resulted in significant exacerbation of the metabolic and hemodynamic response to LPS; TNF release was also increased by this experimental manipulation [64]. In vitro, exposure of human monocytes to hyperglycemia for 24 hours increased IL-6 and TNF synthesis [65]. As noted in some of these studies, cytokine responses to hyperglycemia may involve changes in oxidative stress.

Oxidative stress. Exacerbated oxidative stress has been reported in ICU patients with systemic inflammation. These patients also have lower serum levels of antioxidative factors [66]. Oxidative stress is defined as an imbalance between the production of highly reactive oxygen and/or nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) and endogenous antioxidant defenses. This imbalance can lead to damage to DNA, protein, and lipids. Importantly, the role of oxidative stress is to engender the biochemical abnormalities thought to be associated with the complications of diabetes. In this regard, hyperglycemic exposure to endothelial and smooth muscle cells for 48 to 72 hours stimulated ROS; intervention nullified changes in several pivotal metabolic pathways abnormally expressed in diabetes [67,68]. The oxidative changes may occur more acutely, however, as pancreatic beta cells exposed to hyperglycemia in vitro for as short a time as 15 minutes resulted in increased ROS and decreased first-phase secretion of insulin [69], changes that were nullified with inhibitors of mitochondrial function. In this regard, it appears that the pancreatic beta cell expresses relatively low levels of antioxidant factors [70]. It is also noteworthy that acute hyperglycemia in vivo alters the ability of beta cells to couple insulin secretion to glucose changes [71]. The deleterious effects of acute hyperglycemia in vivo may thus be caused by the production of free radicals and the associated oxidative stress [72]. For example, a very high glucose (32.9 mmol/L [599 mg/dL]) perfusion in rat hearts for 2 hours increased nitric oxide (NO) and superoxide generation and the formation of nitrotyrosine; the subsequent apoptosis was prevented by glutathione [73].

Stress signaling pathways. Hyperglycemia-induced ROS can function as an acute signaling factor for stress-sensitive pathways including nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B), c-Jun N-terminal kinase/stress-activated protein kinase (JNK/SAPK), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). NF- κ B is a heterodimeric peptide that is normally neutralized in the cytoplasm by being bound to I κ B. Following a

variety of activating stress signals, dissociation of I κ B occurs, and NF- κ B crosses the nuclear membrane and up-regulates a host of proinflammatory cytokines that can cause defective insulin signaling and insulin resistance [74]. As explained previously, hyperglycemia produces enhanced mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and increased ROS, up-regulation of NF- κ B being a very proximate response. In accord with these studies, a 2-hour hyperglycemic clamp reaching 9.9 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) resulted in increased monocyte NF- κ B p56-associated translocation into the nucleus [75].

Therapeutic Implications

Clinical trials have shown that aggressive treatment of hyperglycemia in some subsets of diabetic and nondiabetic patients has a positive impact on immune recovery [76] and during the recovery from an MI [77,78]. More recently, mortality was decreased by 34% in a surgical ICU by "clamping" the glucose level between 4.4 and 6.1 mmol/L (80-110 mg/dL) with insulin [34]. This effect was most pronounced in patients with protracted illness (> 5 days). These and other reports have indicated that insulin may have anti-inflammatory properties [79-81], albeit reaching normoglycemia, rather than the insulin dose, was related to the benefits of insulin therapy [82]. Several additional approaches to SH include the observation that the use of a beta blocker ameliorates SH, thus implicating catecholamines to the disorder [83]. In a double-blind study, metformin was administered to burned patients and shown to decrease endogenous glucose production and glucose oxidation and to increase glucose clearance following a glucose challenge [84]. Metformin may be particularly useful in SH in view of its antihyperglycemic effects via suppression of glucose production of the liver as well as having antioxidant properties [85]. Metformin, however, has important limitations including the risk of developing lactic acidosis, a serious consideration. In experimental studies, the lipid-soluble thiamine agent, Benfotiamine, was shown to diminish NF- κ B formation following a 6-hour hyperglycemic exposure [86].

Summary

Acute hyperglycemia associated with severe stress is now recognized to be a potential harbinger of aggravated illness and outcome. With the emerging

epidemic in insulin-resistant disorders such as aging, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome, and studies suggesting that the adverse effects of hyperglycemia can be altered, we are now challenged to consider an alternative clinical paradigm [87]. Although pancreatic beta cell function should normally be adequate to overcome insulin resistance, SH may result from a combination of the high levels of cytokines, severity of oxidative stress, beta cell dysfunction, and glucose-generating drugs (eg, steroids), as well as any underlying genetic diabetic predisposition. Indeed, pancreatic beta cells are highly sensitive to oxidative stress and NF- κ B; thus, the presence of SH may be viewed as an expression of an excessive systemic inflammatory response in which efforts to modulate oxidative forces would have particular value. Certainly, glucose management in the ICU setting needs more thoughtful consideration beyond the ill-conceived and popular use of "sliding scales."

Euthyroid Sick Syndrome— A Question of Setpoint?

In severe systemic nonthyroidal illness (NTI), profound changes occur in the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid (HPT) axis, also termed euthyroid sick syndrome (ESS). Typically, a normal TSH and free T4 and decreased total T3 suggest a change in HPT axis setpoint [88]. This is thought to represent a homeostatic correction by which the body diminishes the effects of the biologically active hormone T3. Since NTI occurs in most patients with systemic illness, and because the morbidity and mortality rate of NTI is high, it becomes important to determine whether thyroid hormone administration is beneficial or detrimental.

Thyroid changes in NTI. The most rapid and consistent finding in NTI is a fall in circulating total T3 and free T3 and an increase of the inactive rT3 levels (ie, low T3 syndrome). As an example, the level of T3 was reported to decrease even before myocardial infarction [89]. In general, the greater the severity of disease the lower the serum T3 levels become [90,91]. Serum total T4 levels can be decreased (ie, low T4 syndrome) typically in patients with more chronic and severe systemic illness; total T4 levels below 51.6 nmol/L (4 ug/dL) are associated with increased mortality [92]. Free T4 can be determined by equilibrium dialysis, direct measurement, or ultrafiltration, and although controversial, the majority of patients have serum free

T₄ either being normal or slightly decreased, but occasionally elevated [93]. A decrease in the peripheral production of T₃ due to a decreased extra-thyroidal conversion of T₄ into T₃ by the enzyme type I iodothyronine-5'-deiodinase is a major contributing factor [94,95]. Similar changes are seen after 24 to 36 hours of food deprivation, which is reversible [96]. The critical aspect relating to thyroid hormone action is tissue concentrations, nuclear receptor occupancy, and clinical parameters of thyroid hormone action at peripheral target tissues, all difficult to determine in critically ill humans. Interestingly, tissue T₃ concentrations were found to be lower in liver, kidney, and anterior pituitary obtained from NTI patients compared to control tissue [97]. Thyroid hormone receptor levels also decrease in experimental infection [98].

Circulating TSH levels might be considered as a sensitive marker of a lack of thyroid hormone since the concentrations of TSH sharply increase in primary hypothyroidism even before serum T₄ and T₃ fall below the normal reference range (eg, so-called subclinical hypothyroidism) [99-101]. In NTI, however, despite the decrease in serum T₃ (and T₄ in severe cases), the concentrations of TSH typically remain within the low to normal range [102]. Conversely, there is a blunted response of TSH to thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), and low TSH levels are associated with poor prognosis [92,103,104]. Various pharmacologic agents, such as dopamine and steroids, may further decrease TSH levels. Taken together, these findings suggest that a major change in thyroid hormone setpoint regulation occurs in NTI. Since the TRH level in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) is a major determinant of the setpoint of the HPT axis, it is reasonable to assume a role for the hypothalamus in NTI. Accordingly, prolonged critically ill patients show diminished TSH pulsatility, characterized by an absent nocturnal TSH surge and a decreased TSH pulse amplitude [102,105,106]. The changes in nocturnal TSH secretion in NTI resemble those found in central hypothyroidism, suggesting that hypothalamic changes are involved in the ESS. Indeed, TRH mRNA expression in PVN is reduced in patients who died after prolonged critical illness, and this decrease correlated with both serum TSH and T₃ levels [107]. In addition, a continuous administration of TRH to patients with prolonged critical illness partially restored circulating levels of T₃, T₄, and TSH, especially when combined with growth hormone secretagogues [108].

Is the patient with NTI euthyroid? It is important to obtain a complete history from the medical records,

from the patient and/or relatives, and to ascertain whether the patient has had thyroid disease or received surgery or radioactive iodine. Family history of thyroid disease and measurement of thyroid antibodies and thyroid function are also important. Clinically, patients with NTI may have the classic signs or symptoms of hypothyroidism [109]; for example, patients in an ICU may have unrelated hypotension, dry skin, bradycardia, and hypothermia. It is probable that in NTI the TSH level relatively accurately reflects the amount of T₃ available at the pituitary and probably also indirectly reflects tissue thyroid hormone concentrations. Thus, the changes in TSH should be viewed similarly in patients with NTI and normal subjects using a sensitive third-generation assay [104]. A normal serum TSH most likely excludes primary thyrotoxicosis or hypothyroidism and suggests that the patient is euthyroid and does not require L-thyroxine therapy. Suppressed TSH levels (ie, below the limit of detection of a third-generation assay with a functional assay sensitivity of < 0.01 mU/L) are typically seen in patients with primary hyperthyroidism, and only in a small percentage of critically ill patients (eg, those receiving dopamine or glucocorticoids). In addition, circulating peripheral thyroid levels, especially T₃, are not elevated, but rather in the lower reference range in NTI.

Patients with overt primary hypothyroidism almost always have TSH levels > 10 mU/L in parallel with decreased T₄ and in more severe stages also of T₃ [100]. TSH measurement has a very high diagnostic accuracy for the early detection of primary hypothyroidism. However, TSH is a poor measure of the clinical and metabolic severity of hypothyroidism, because TSH is maximally stimulated in the early stages of primary thyroid failure, with no further increase occurring with greater severity of hypothyroidism [99]. In addition, elevated TSH levels may also occur in NTI upon recovery [102]; however, these values rarely exceed 10 mU/L [110]. In situations of diagnostic uncertainty, low serum concentrations of rT₃ (if available), a low thyroid hormone binding ratio, and especially a high ratio of serum T₃ to T₄ of > 100 favor the presence of hypothyroidism over NTI and vice versa [88,101]. In addition, careful clinical evaluation of the signs and symptoms of hypothyroidism is essential if the differential diagnosis and simple clinical scores are available [109]. These signs may be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to discern in a patient in the ICU who typically has multiple medical problems and may have a tracheostomy and may be receiving medication for sedation. It is particularly difficult to assess the rare patient who

may have secondary hypothyroidism; papilledema, visual fields, involvement of other pituitary hormones then become important. Frequently, these patients may have had a CT or MRI of the head without attention to the pituitary gland. One should ensure that the remainder of the pituitary gland function is normal and that there is no hypothalamic or pituitary involvement by a mass or infiltrative disease. The medications used need to be reviewed with special emphasis to dopamine and corticosteroids [111,112]. A wide variety of other medications may additionally influence the hypothalamic-pituitary axis [113].

Assessment and treatment of patients with NTI and suppressed TSH. Recently, increased cardiovascular mortality in subjects aged 60 years and older has been reported in patients with subclinical hyperthyroidism (ie, TSH levels of < 0.5 mU/L in the presence of T₃ and T₄ levels within the normal reference range) [114]. Since correlations do not prove causality, it has been argued that this is due to the higher mortality of patients suffering from NTI, which were more prevalent in the group with suppressed TSH. In view of the lack of controlled intervention studies, the treatment of patients with NTI and suppressed TSH levels with antithyroid therapy cannot be advocated.

If overt primary hyperthyroidism is postulated in a patient with NTI, the serum TSH should be undetectable (ie, < 0.01 mU/L) with a high normal or elevated free T₄, and free T₃ being inappropriately normal or elevated and treatment considered in the appropriate context. Again, careful clinical assessment (eg, using the Crooks-Wayne index) is essential [115]. Treatment is usually with methimazole (eg, 5-15 mg/day) or propylthiouracil (50-150 mg/day), with frequent monitoring of blood count and liver function tests. These antithyroid agents take several days to weeks to reach maximum effect, and propranolol can be added for symptomatic control [116,117]. The use of glucocorticoids has been proposed in severe cases of hyperthyroidism. Importantly, no controlled studies are available on the optimal therapeutic regimen in these patients; any recommendations are "eminence-based" rather than "evidence-based." Because of their ability to actually increase thyroid hormone secretion over time, iodine, ipodate, or iopanoic acid administration should only be used in unusual circumstances when the clinician is relatively certain that hyperthyroidism is present and needs to be controlled as rapidly as possible [118,119].

Assessment and treatment of patients with NTI and elevated TSH and/or low T₃ and/or T₄. If the TSH is greater than 10 mU/L, especially if the free T₄ is decreased with reasonable clinical suspicion, one could recommend L-thyroxine therapy. Although there are several potential regimens for the use of T₄ and/or T₃, L-thyroxine is preferable, usually in doses of 50-100 ug/day (depending upon the level of suspicion for hypothyroidism and the general medical and cardiac condition). If the TSH is between 5 and 10 mU/L, and assuming the patient is not in the recovery phase of his or her systemic illness, repeating the values several times over the next few days is reasonable, and if the values are consistent, the patient could be treated with L-thyroxine or simply followed very closely with frequent physical examinations and repeat thyroid function tests.

Treatment of NTI with normal TSH. Although the therapy in patients with suspected primary hypothyroidism is straightforward, it is controversial if patients with NTI and normal TSH levels and low T₄ and/or T₃ levels should be treated [88,120,121]. One might argue that the down-regulation at all levels of the HPT axis in NTI is part of the neuroendocrine adaptation to disease: a "hibernation-like" attempt of the organism to save energy. In this view, attempts to increase and thereby restore serum thyroid hormone concentrations are disadvantageous and—unless randomized clinical trials demonstrate clinical benefit—should not be undertaken, in accordance with the principle *primum non nocere*. It is not, however, certain that this view can be extended to situations where critically ill patients require and get vital organ support that are beyond pathophysiological adaptation. The applicability of animal studies to clinical NTI is unfortunately problematic. There have been several studies performed in which patients with NTI have been treated with L-T₄ or T₃ (for an extensive review, see [88,121]). These studies, however, are of limited use since they often have a small number of patients and study only a focused patient population. The authors find the evidence far from compelling and would advise withholding thyroid hormone therapy in NTI in critically ill patients in the absence of clear clinical or laboratory evidence for abnormal thyroid function, as outlined above. Accordingly, a normal TSH suggests that the patient is euthyroid and does not require L-thyroxine therapy. A normal clinical score and normal free T₄ levels would give additional

support [109]. If the free T₄ was < 2.6 pmol/L (< 0.2 ng/dL) (normal 9.0-19.4 pmol/L [0.8-1.8 ng/dL]), in conjunction with a normal TSH, consideration of L-thyroxine therapy could be advocated, since only a small percentage of euthyroid patients with systemic illness would have such a low free T₄. Obtaining a rT₃ level may be of interest in selected instances, but its reliance has been questioned [122]. In one study, ICU patients were randomly assigned to treatment with intravenous thyroxine for 2 weeks or placebo [123]. This normalized serum T₄ and free T₄ levels, but the concentrations of T₃ was no different from that of control patients and it did not improve survival. One might argue that serum T₃ should be normalized in order to achieve a beneficial effect. The best such large-scale studies are in patients who have undergone coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG). For example, 2 controlled studies of T₃ administration to CABG patients found no difference in clinical outcome despite some favorable effects on hemodynamic variables [124,125]. Although there was no prolonged or severe critical illness present as reflected by the low mortality rate and the normal T₄ concentrations, numerous studies in different settings (eg, transplantations, fasting/starvation, ICU sepsis, septic shock, burns, and in AIDS patients) could not resolve the controversy due to lack of clear clinical benefit of either T₃ or T₄ administration [121].

Summary

NTI is seen in multiple disorders that present with similar thyroid function tests, that is, normal free T₄ and TSH in conjunction with a decreased free T₃. The clinical context, including the medical history and physical examination, must be considered; however, most of these patients are euthyroid and should not be treated with thyroid hormone until further information regarding its etiology, pathophysiology, and response to treatment have been better evaluated in diverse patient populations: Thus, further large scale prospective studies still need to be performed before thyroid hormone administration can be advocated in patients with ESS.

Growth Hormone Supplementation— A Cautionary Anabolic Tale

Reversing the protein catabolism associated with critical illness has been an important research objective and clinical goal. Despite aggressive

nutritional support, critically ill patients remain catabolic with continued nitrogen loss. Although a multitude of studies have demonstrated that growth hormone (GH) supplementation has salutary anabolic effects in a number of different stressful conditions, this therapy results in an increased risk of mortality [126]. A better comprehension of this conundrum may provide insights into future strategies for anabolic treatments.

Normal GH Physiology

GH is secreted from the anterior pituitary, which is under hypothalamic control; positive (ie, growth hormone releasing hormone) and negative (ie, somatostatin) hypothalamic factors influence the synthesis and secretion of pituitary GH. In addition, a novel class of endogenous GH-releasing peptides, which act on the pituitary, have been described: among these, ghrelin, a 28-amino peptide secreted from the oxyntic cell of the fundus, augments pituitary GH release and has orexigenic properties [127]. Anterior pituitary GH is secreted in a characteristic diurnal and pulsatile pattern. Following secretion, approximately 50% of GH is bound to the circulating portion of its own receptor, GHR. Two GHRs bind GH and initiate signal transduction by interacting with the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase, Janus kinase 2 (JAK2).

GH itself has well-known metabolic activities such as lipolysis, enhanced amino acid transport into muscle, and anti-insulin properties. The most prominent action, however, is the mitogenic and anabolic action via increased insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1) production. IGF-1 circulates in a ternary binding protein complex, which includes IGF binding proteins (IGFBP3 [and to a lesser extent IGFBP5] and acid labile subunit [ALS]). Importantly, IGF-1 exerts negative feedback on GH release at the level of the hypothalamus and pituitary. The main bioaction of the GH-IGF-1 axis is nitrogen retention, protein anabolism, and linear growth. However, this axis also has important metabolic effects beyond pubertal growth as attested to by studies of hypopituitary subjects in whom the loss of GH appears to result in loss of lean muscle mass, an increase in fat mass, and accelerated atherosclerosis.

GH in Critical Illness

The mean concentration of GH is acutely increased in most stress states such as trauma, surgery, burns,

and infection. This appears to result from a sustained increase in interpulse GH levels. Nonsurvivors generally have the highest level of GH compared to survivors. Despite the increased GH, IGF-1, IGFBP3, and ALS levels are consistently low, suggesting a GH-resistant state. In contrast, in prolonged illness, mean GH levels are often slightly elevated, but with low or few pulsatile spikes [128]. Experimental studies with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) suggest that the initial abnormality in the GH-IGF-1 axis occurs at the level of post-GH receptor signaling, that is, decreased JAK and signal transduction and activators of transcription (STAT) and increased suppressors of cytokines (SOCS) while there is a decreased abundance of GHR at later stages [129,130]. In experimental abdominal sepsis, treatment with a TNF antagonist (ie, TNF-binding protein) ameliorates the reduction in IGF-1 mRNA, suggesting that proinflammatory cytokines induce GH resistance [131]. In a series of studies in humans, pulsatile secretion of anterior pituitary hormones is reduced during the chronic phase of critical illness [127,128]. This abnormal pulsatility can be corrected using the cognate GHRH or GHS peptide. Anabolism, however, is only observed with a combination of GH-releasing factors, thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), and gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) [132]. Coadministration of GHRP-2, TRH, and GnRH reactivated the GH, TSH, and LH axes in prolonged critically ill men and evoked beneficial metabolic effects, which were absent with GHRP-2 infusion alone and only partially present with GHRP-2 + TRH. These data underline the importance of correcting the multiple hormonal deficits in patients with prolonged critical illness to counteract the hypercatabolic state [132].

GH Replacement

Documented GH deficiency (GHD) in children and adults can be successfully treated with replacement doses of recombinant GH. Children experience accelerated linear growth, whereas adults normalize the ratio of lean and fat mass as well as increasing bone mineral density. Interestingly, proinflammatory cytokine levels and NO balance improve (eg, increased synthesis of NO) with GH replacement [133]. GH replacement in GHD appears to be safe [134], and, although not reported, hypopituitary patients with GHD would be candidates for continued GH replacement during ongoing critical illness.

GH Supplementation

As long ago as 1961, GH was known to combat nitrogen wasting and the hypermetabolic response in burns [135]. GH given to children with burns (including double-blind placebo trials) was reported to enhance wound healing with a shortened hospital stay, and decreased cytokine levels [136-138]. In surgical patients, GH can improve muscle strength, preserve lean body mass, and decrease fatigue [139]. Patients requiring parenteral nutrition respond to GH by positive nitrogen balance by increased IGF-1 levels. Moreover, GH may shorten the time on mechanical ventilation. GH was also used in sepsis and reported to improve nitrogen balance [140,141]. Thus, it was unanticipated that GH therapy would increase mortality in 2 large European multicenter, placebo controlled randomized trials encompassing 532 critically ill patients [126]. The patient categories included trauma, respiratory failure, and postcardiac or abdominal surgery. The dose of GH was 10- to 20-fold higher than replacement levels and was continued for a maximum of 21 days. The doubling of the mortality occurred during the first 10 days due to multiple organ dysfunction and uncontrolled infection. Although a subsequent review of GH therapy in children with burns indicated that it was safe [142], its use in acutely ill adult patients has been discouraged.

Why GH Supplementation May Be Harmful

The results of pharmacological supplementation of GH have focused mostly on its anabolic properties, although GH has a myriad of other direct and indirect multisystem effects. Certain side effects, such as edema and insulin resistance, are expected and occur with replacement doses. The adverse outcome of the anabolic trials emphasizes the lack of detailed knowledge of the complexity underlying the response to catastrophic illness. Future supplemental use of GH should only be considered subsequent to additional studies into GH pathobiology.

Immune effects. Although the cause of the adverse outcome in these GH trials is not known, GH has immune-related effects that may be of significance. GH is produced by immune cells and has been implicated in the regulation of several functions in the immune system. For example, non-Hodgkin

lymphoma has been reported to cause overt acromegaly [143], and acromegalics show increased phagocytic and T cell activity [144]. Both GH and IGF-1 influence the growth and function of phagocytic cells [145]. In lymphoma cells made to over-express GH, NO production was increased due to increased inducible NO synthase (iNOS) promoter activity and iNOS protein expression. These cells also showed reduced toxicity following exposure to an alkylating agent, suggesting a role of GH in the survival of lymphocytes exposed to stressful stimuli via the production of NO [146]. Studies of intrathymic injection of GH indicate that GH can stimulate intrathymic T-cell traffic [147]. GH may also impact the human immune system via Fas-induced apoptosis; in human lymphocyte cell lines, GH rescued Fas-induced suppression of [(3)H]-thymidine incorporation, without changing Fas antigen expression. In these experiments, GH treatment increased Bcl-2 expression, down-regulated caspase-3 expression, and inhibited activation of caspase-3 [148].

In experimental sepsis (ie, cecal ligation puncture method), GH significantly increased the expression of CD11b and the circulatory neutrophil activation. GH also increased neutrophil accumulation in lungs, thus demonstrating exacerbated microvascular injury [149]. Similar cellular and tissue abnormalities have been reported to follow LPS and GH exposure. In addition, NF- κ B activity in the lung and in neutrophils was increased following GH and LPS exposure [150]. LPS injected into rats primed with GH for 3 days caused more extensive abnormalities of renal and liver function as well as worsened hypoglycemia and hyperlipidemia than was observed in controls. In this model, GH potentiated the deleterious effects of LPS [151].

Metabolic effects. GH has well-known direct and indirect metabolic effects. In human GH trials, hyperglycemia has been noted to be more common (glucose levels were increased by 1-2.5 mmol/L [18-45 mg/dL] in the GH-treated groups) [126]. The nutritional state is of paramount importance to the evaluation of the GH-IGF-1 axis, and much of the contradictory information in critically ill patients is related to the underlying fed or fasted state (ie, treatment with GH results in much lower IGF-1 response in fasted subjects). The supply of a critical amino acid, particularly glutamine, may be of importance in GH studies [152,153]. GH-induced lipolysis and ketone body formation may lead to metabolic acidosis and detrimental response to experimental hemorrhage [154].

GH is known to stimulate hepatic protein synthesis and to induce hepatic enzymes. It is thought also that the difference in pulsatile GH secretion pattern between males and females determines the sexually dimorphic pattern of drug metabolism [155]. GH treatment of healthy elderly male subjects induces hepatic cytochrome CYP1A2 activity [156]. Interestingly, a similar protocol of GH treatment of GHD adults resulted in decreased CYP1A2 activity [157]. Thus, the pulsatile pattern of GH is an important aspect of its action with variable metabolic effects.

Summary

Despite the plethora of studies demonstrating the salutary effects of pharmacological doses on nitrogen balance in critical illness, such supplementation is clearly hazardous. Although the mechanism is unknown, GH supplementation has been shown to have important immune and metabolic impact that may adversely affect the GH-resistant state that is characteristic of the early phase of critical illness. Since GH replacement in GHD has been shown to have beneficial immune and metabolic effects, it remains to be established whether a comparable state of GHD occurs during prolonged illness. Nevertheless, GH supplementation may have a role in less fulminant forms of catabolic states [158]. Future anabolic studies in critical illness should focus on improved diagnostic approaches to GHD in critical illness as well as alternative GH replacement strategies that reestablish the normal GH-IGF-1 feedback system.

References

1. Zaloga GP. Sepsis-induced adrenal deficiency syndrome. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:688-690.
2. Vermees I, Beishuizen A. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal response to critical illness. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;15:495-511.
3. Beishuizen A, Thijs LG, Vermees I. Patterns of corticosteroid-binding globulin and the free cortisol index during septic shock and multitrauma. *Intensive Care Med.* 2001;27:1584-1591.
4. Peeters RP, Hagendorf A, Wouters P, et al. Evidence for a tissue alternative splicing of glucocorticoid receptor variants α , β and P during critical illness. Proceedings of the 84th Annual Meeting of the Endocrine Society, Philadelphia, PA, June 19-22, 2003; P2-554.
5. Annane D, Sebille V, Troche G, Raphael JC, Gajdos P, Bellissant E. A 3-level prognostic classification in septic shock based on cortisol levels and cortisol response to corticotropin. *JAMA.* 2000;283:1038-1045.
6. Mayenknecht J, Diederich S, Bahr V, Plockinger U, Oelkers W. Comparison of low and high dose corticotropin stimu-

- lation tests in patients with pituitary disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998;83:1558-1562.
7. Cooper MS, Steward PM. Corticosteroid insufficiency in acutely ill patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:727-734.
 8. Lamberts SW, Bruining HA, de Jong FH. Corticosteroid therapy in severe illness. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337:1285-1292.
 9. Beishuizen A, Thijs IG. Relative adrenal failure in intensive care: an identifiable problem requiring treatment? *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;15:513-531.
 10. Alarifi A, Nysten ES. Dislodging sacred dogmas in combating systemic stress: the case for steroids. *Ann Saudi Med.* 2000;20:358-359.
 11. Meduri GU, Tolley EA, Chrousos GP, Stentz F. Prolonged methylprednisolone treatment suppresses systemic inflammation in patients with unresolving acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care.* 2002;165:983-991.
 12. Goodman S, Sprung CL. The International Sepsis Forum's controversies in sepsis: corticosteroids should be used to treat septic shock. *Crit Care.* 2002;6:381-383.
 13. Annane D. Corticosteroids for septic shock. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:S117-S120.
 14. Annane D, Sebille V, Charpentier C, et al. Effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock. *JAMA.* 2002;288:862-871.
 15. Jones SL, Trainer PJ, Perry L, Wass JA, Bessner GM, Grossman A. An audit of the insulin tolerance test in adult subjects in an acute investigation unit over one year. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* 1994;41:123-128.
 16. Abdu TA, Elhadd TA, Neary R, Clayton RN. Comparison of the low dose short synacthen test (1 microg), the conventional dose short synacthen test (250 microg), and the insulin tolerance test for assessment of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in patients with pituitary disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84:838-843.
 17. Bernels K, Staub JJ, Gessler A, Meier C, Girard J, Muller B. Combined stimulation of adrenocorticotropin and compound-S by single dose metyrapone test as an outpatient procedure to assess hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87:5470-5475.
 18. van Woensel JB, Biezeveld MH, Alders AM, et al. Adrenocorticotrophic hormone and cortisol levels in relation to inflammatory response and disease severity in children with meningococcal disease. *J Infect Dis.* 2001;184:1532-1537.
 19. Streeten DH. Shortcomings in the low-dose (1 microg) ACTH test for the diagnosis of ACTH deficiency states. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84:835-837.
 20. Henzen C, Suter A, Lerch E, Urbinelli R, Schorno XH, Briener VA. Suppression and recovery of adrenal response after short-term, high-dose glucocorticoid treatment. *Lancet.* 2000;355:542-545.
 21. Oelkers W. Adrenal insufficiency. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335:1206-1212.
 22. Dickstein G, Spiegel D, Arad E, Shechner C. One microgram is the lowest ACTH dose to cause a maximal cortisol response. There is no diurnal variation of cortisol response to submaximal ACTH stimulation. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 1997;137:172-175.
 23. Rasmuson S, Olsson T, Hagg E. A low dose ACTH test to assess the function of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* 1996;44:151-156.
 24. Rohatgi S, Hochhaus G, Mollmann H, Barth J, Derendorf H. Pharmacokinetic interaction between endogenous cortisol and exogenous corticosteroids. *Pharmazie.* 1995;50:610-613.
 25. Oppert M, Reinicke A, Graf KJ, Barckow D, Frei U, Eckardt KU. Plasma cortisol levels before and during "low-dose" hydrocortisone therapy and their relationship to hemodynamic improvement in patients with septic shock. *Intensive Care Med.* 2000;26:1747-1755.
 26. Perrot D, Bonneton A, Dechaud H, Motin J, Pugeat M. Hypercortisolism in septic shock is not suppressible by dexamethasone infusion. *Crit Care Med.* 1993;21:396-401.
 27. Kass EH, Ingbar SH, Finland M. Effects of adrenocorticotrophic hormone in pneumonia: clinical, bacteriological and serological studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 1950;33:1081-1098.
 28. Group CS. A double-blind study of the effectiveness of cortisol in the management of severe infections. *Trans Assoc Am Physicians.* 1962;75:198-207.
 29. Group CS. The effectiveness of hydrocortisone in the management of severe infections: a double blind study. *JAMA.* 1963;183:462-465.
 30. Sprung CL, Caralis PV, Marcial EH, et al. The effects of high-dose corticosteroids in patients with septic shock. A prospective, controlled study. *N Engl J Med.* 1984;311:1137-1143.
 31. Bone RC, Fisher CJ Jr, Clemmer TP, Slotman GJ, Metz CA, Balk RA. A controlled clinical trial of high-dose methylprednisolone in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 1987;317:653-658.
 32. Cronin L, Cook DJ, Carlet J, et al. Corticosteroid treatment for sepsis: a critical appraisal and meta-analysis of the literature. *Crit Care Med.* 1995;23:1430-1439.
 33. Briegel J. Cortisol in critically ill patients with sepsis: physiologic functions and therapeutic implications. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2000;112:341-352.
 34. Van Den Bergh G, Wouters P, Weekers F, et al. Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:1359-1367.
 35. McGowan JE jr, Chesney PJ, Crossley KB, LaForce FM. Guidelines for the use of systemic glucocorticosteroids in the management of selected infections. Working group on steroid use, antimicrobial agents committee, Infectious Disease Society of America. *J Infect Dis.* 1992;165:1-13.
 36. de Gans J, van de Beek D. Dexamethasone in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347:1549-1556.
 37. Bernard C. *Lectins sur le diabete a la glycogenase animale.* Paris: Bailliere; 1877.
 38. Capes SE, Hunt D, Malmberg K, Gerstein HC. Stress hyperglycemia and increased risk of death after myocardial infarction in patients with and without diabetes: a systematic overview. *Lancet.* 2000;355:773-778.
 39. Oswald GA, Smith CC, Bettegger DJ, Yudkin JS. Determinants and importance of stress hyperglycemia in non-diabetic patients with myocardial infarction. *Br Med J.* 2003;327:917-922.
 40. Capes SE, Hunt D, Malmberg K, Pathak P, Gerstein HC. Stress hyperglycemia and the prognosis of stroke in non-diabetic and diabetic patients: a systematic overview. *Stroke.* 2001;32:2426-2432.
 41. Bhistikul DM, Morrow AL, Vinik AI, Shults J, Layland JC, Rohn R. Prevalence of stress hyperglycemia among patients attending a pediatric emergency department. *J Pediatr.* 1994;124:547-551.
 42. Valerio G, Franzese A, Carlin E, Pecile P, Perin R, Tenore A. High prevalence of stress hyperglycemia in children with febrile seizures and traumatic injuries. *Acta Paediatr.* 2001;90:618-622.
 43. Umplierrez GE, Isaacs SD, Bazargan N, You X, Thaler LM, Kitabchi AE. Hyperglycemia: an independent marker of in-hospital mortality in patients with undiagnosed diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87:978-982.
 44. Frankenfield DC, Omert LA, Badellino MM, et al. Correlation between measured energy expenditure and clinically obtained variables in trauma and sepsis patients. *J Parenter Enter Nutr.* 1994;18:398-403.
 45. Perner A, Nielsen SE, Rask-Madsen J. High glucose impairs superoxide production from isolated blood neutrophils. *Intensive Care Med.* 2003;29:642-645.

46. Khaothiar L, McCowen K, Bistran B. Perioperative hyperglycemia, infection or risk. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 1999;2:79-82.
47. Marfella R, Nappo F, De Angelis L, Siniscalchi M, Rossi F, Giugliano D. The effect of acute hyperglycemia on QTC duration in healthy men. *Diabetologia*. 2000;43:1332-1333.
48. Alarifi A, Van Den Berghe GH, Snider RH, Becker KL, Muller B, Nylen ES. Endocrine markers and mediators in critical illness. In: Becker KL, ed. *The Principles and Practice of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:2077-2087.
49. Nilsson P, Nilsson J-A, Hedblad B, Eriksson K-F, Berglund G. Hyperinsulinemia as a long-term predictor of death and ischemic heart disease in nondiabetic men: the malmo preventive project. *J Intern Med*. 2003;253:136-147.
50. Norhammar AM, Ryden L, Malmberg K. Admission plasma glucose: independent risk factor for long-term prognosis after myocardial infarction even in nondiabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;33:1827-1831.
51. Bolck J, van der Ploeg T, Cornel JH, Arnold AE, Sepers J, Umans VA. Impaired glucose metabolism predicts mortality after a myocardial infarction. *In J Cardiol*. 2001;79:207-214.
52. Gore DC, Chinkes D, Hegggers J, Herndon DN, Wolf SE, Desai M. Association of hyperglycemia with increased mortality after severe burn injury. *J Trauma*. 2001;51:540-544.
53. Watters JM, Bessey PQ, Dinarello CA, Wolf SH, Wilmore DW. Both inflammatory and endocrine mediators stimulate host response to sepsis. *Arch Surg*. 1986;121:179-190.
54. O'Riordan MG, Ross JA, Fearon KCH, et al. Insulin and counter-regulatory hormones influence acute-phase protein production in human hepatocytes. *Am J Physiol*. 1995;269:E323-E330.
55. Tappy L, Chiolero R, Berger M. Autoregulation of glucose production in health and disease. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 1999;2:161-164.
56. Mizock BA. Alterations in carbohydrate metabolism during stress: a review from the literature. *Am J Med*. 1995;98:75-84.
57. Fan J, Yh L, Wojnar MM, Lang CH. Endotoxin-induced alterations in insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of insulin receptor, IRS-1, and MAP kinase in skeletal muscle. *Sbock*. 1996;6:164-170.
58. Hotamisligil GS, Spiegelman BM. Tumor necrosis factor alpha: a key component of the obesity-diabetes link. *Diabetes*. 1994;43:1271-1278.
59. Van der Poll T, Romijn JA, Endert E, et al. Tumor necrosis factor mimicks the metabolic response to acute infection in healthy humans. *Am J Physiol*. 1991;261:E457-E461.
60. Gattereau A, Biemann P, Durivage J, Larochele P. Hyperglycaemic effect of synthetic salmon calcitonin. *Lancet*. 1977;19:1076-1077.
61. Mandrup T, Nerup J, Reimers JJ, et al. Cytokines and the endocrine system: I. The immunoendocrine network. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 1995;133:660-671.
62. Soop M, Duxbury H, Agwunobi AO, et al. Euglycemic hyperinsulinemia augments the cytokine and endocrine responses to endotoxin in humans. *Am J Physiol Metab*. 2002;282:E1276-1285.
63. Esposito K, Nappo F, Marfella R, et al. Inflammatory cytokine concentrations are acutely increased by hyperglycemia in humans—the role of oxidative stress. *Circulation*. 2002;106:2067-2072.
64. Looser M-R, Bernard C, Beaudeaux J-L, Pison C, Payen D. Glucose modulates hemodynamic, metabolic, and inflammatory responses to lipopolysaccharide in rabbits. *J Appl Physiol*. 1997;83:1566-1574.
65. Morohoshi M, Fujisawa K, Uchimura I, Numano F. Glucose-dependent interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor production by human peripheral blood monocytes in vitro. *Diabetes*. 1996;45:954-959.
66. Alonso de Vega JM, Diaz J, Serrano E, Carbonell LF. Oxidative stress in critically ill patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. *Crit Care Med*. 2002;30:1782-1786.
67. Brownlee M. Biochemistry and molecular cell biology of diabetic complications. *Nature*. 2001;414:813-820.
68. Nishikawa T, Edelstein D, Du XL, et al. Normalizing mitochondrial superoxide production blocks three pathways of hyperglycemic damage. *Nature*. 404:787-790.
69. Sakai K, Matsumoto K, Nishikawa T, et al. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species reduce insulin secretion by pancreatic β -cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm*. 2003;300:216-322.
70. Robertson RP, Harmon J, Tran PO, Tanaka Y, Takahashi H. Glucose toxicity in beta-cells: type 2 diabetes, good radicals gone bad, and the glutathione connection. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:581-587.
71. Meyer J, Sturis J, Katschinski M, Arnold R, Goke B, Byrne MM. Acute hyperglycemia alters the ability of the normal β -cell to sense and respond to glucose. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;282:E917-E922.
72. Marfella R, Quagliaro L, Nappo F, Cerello A, Guigliano D. Acute hyperglycemia induces oxidative stress in healthy subjects. *J Clin Invest*. 2001;108:635.
73. Cerello A, Quagliaro L, D'Amico M, et al. Acute hyperglycemia induces nitrotyrosine formation and apoptosis in perfused hearts from rat. *Diabetes*. 2002;51:1076-1082.
74. Evans JL, Goldfine ID, Maddux BA, Grodsky GM. Oxidative stress and stress-activated signaling pathways: a unifying hypothesis of type 2 diabetes. *Endocr Rev*. 2002;23:599-622.
75. Schiekofer A, Andrassy M, Chen J, et al. Acute hyperglycemia causes intracellular formation of CML and activation of ras, p42/44 MAPK, and nuclear factor kappaB in PBMCs. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:621-633.
76. Rassias AJ, Marrin CA, Arruda J, Whalen PK, Beach M, Yeager MP. Insulin infusion improves neutrophil function in diabetic cardiac surgery patients. *Anesth Analg*. 1999;88:1011-1016.
77. Malmberg K, Ryden L, Efendic S, et al. Randomized trial of insulin-glucose infusion followed by subcutaneous insulin treatment in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction (DIGAMI study): effects on mortality at 1 year. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995;26:57-65.
78. Diaz R, Paolasso EA, Piegas LS, et al. Metabolic modulation of acute myocardial infarction. The ECLA (Estudios Cardiologicos Latinoamerica) Collaborative Group. *Circulation*. 1998;98:2227-2234.
79. Dandona P, Aljada A, Bandyopadhyay A. The potential therapeutic role of insulin in acute myocardial infarction in patients admitted to intensive care and in those with unspecified hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:516-519.
80. Dandona P, Aljada A, Mohanty P, et al. Insulin inhibits intranuclear nuclear factor kappaB and stimulates IkappaB in mononuclear cells in obese subjects: evidence for an anti-inflammatory effect? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;86:3257-3265.
81. Hansen TK, Thiel S, Wouters PJ, Christiansen JS, Van Den Berghe G. Intensive insulin therapy exerts anti-inflammatory effects in critically ill patients and counteracts the adverse effects of low mannose-binding lectin levels. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2003;88:1082-1088.
82. Van den Berghe G, Wouters PJ, Bouillon R, et al. Outcome benefit of intensive insulin therapy in the critically ill: insulin dose versus glycemic control. *Crit Care Med*. 2003;31:359-366.
83. Rashid S, Shi ZQ, Niwa M, et al. Beta-blockade, but not normoglycemia or hyperinsulinemia, markedly diminishes stress-induced hyperglycemia in diabetic dogs. *Diabetes*. 2000;49:253-262.

84. Gore DC, Wolf SE, Herndon DN, Wolfe RR. Metformin blunts stress-induced hyperglycemia after thermal injury. *J Trauma*. 2003;54:555-561.
85. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR. Metformin: an update. *Ann Intern Med*. 2002;137:25-33.
86. Hammes H-P, Du X, Edelstein D, et al. Benfotiamine blocks three major pathways of hyperglycemic damage and prevents experimental diabetic retinopathy. *Nature Med*. 2003;9:294-299.
87. Hirsch IB. In-patient hyperglycemia—are we ready to treat yet? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;87:975-977.
88. Fliers E, Alkemade A, Wiersinga WM. The hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis in critical illness. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;15:453-464.
89. Friberg L, Werner S, Eggertsen G, Ahnve S. Rapid down-regulation of thyroid hormones in acute myocardial infarction. *Arch Int Med*. 2002;162:1388-1394.
90. Kaptein EM, Robinson WJ, Grieb DA, Nicoloff JT. Peripheral serum thyroxine, triiodothyronine and reverse triiodothyronine kinetics in the low thyroxine state of acute nonthyroidal illnesses. A noncomparative analysis. *J Clin Invest*. 1982;69:526-535.
91. McIver B, Gorman CA. Euthyroid sick syndrome: an overview. *Thyroid*. 1997;7:125-132.
92. Kaptein EM, Grieb DA, Spencer CA, Wheeler WS, Nicoloff JT. Thyroxine metabolism in the low thyroxine state of critical nonthyroidal illnesses. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1981;53:764-771.
93. Chopra IJ, Huang TS, Beredo A, Solomon DH, Chua Teco GN. Serum thyroid hormone binding inhibitor in nonthyroidal illnesses. *Metabolism*. 1986;35:152-159.
94. Chopra IJ, Huang TS, Beredo A, Solomon DH, Chua Teco GN, Mead JF. Evidence for an inhibitor of extrathyroidal conversion of thyroxine to 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine in sera of patients with nonthyroidal illnesses. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1985;60:666-672.
95. Peeters RP, Wouters PJ, Kaptein E, Toor H, Visser TJ, vd Berghe G. Reduced activation and increased inactivation of thyroid hormone in tissues of critically ill patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2003;88:3202-3211.
96. Romijn JA, Adriaanse R, Brabant G, Prank K, Ender E, Wiersinga WM. Pulsatile secretion of thyrotropin during fasting: a decrease of thyrotropin pulse amplitude. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1990;70:1631-1636.
97. Arem R, Wiener GJ, Kaplan SG, Kim HS, Reichlin S, Kaplan MM. Reduced tissue thyroid hormone levels in fatal illness. *Metabolism*. 1993;42:1102-1108.
98. Beigneux AP, Moser AH, Shigenaga JK, Grunfeld C, Feingold KR. Sick euthyroid syndrome is associated with decreased TR expression and DNA binding in mouse liver. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2003;284:E228-E236.
99. Meier C, Tritschbach P, Guglielmetti M, Staub JJ, Muller B. Serum thyroid stimulating hormone in assessment of severity of tissue hypothyroidism in patients with overt primary thyroid failure: cross sectional survey. *Br Med J*. 2003;326:311-312.
100. Staub JJ, Althaus BU, Engler H, et al. Spectrum of subclinical and overt hypothyroidism: effect on thyrotropin, prolactin, and thyroid reserve, and metabolic impact on peripheral target tissues. *Am J Med*. 1992;92:631-642.
101. Muller B, Zulewski H, Huber P, Ratcliffe JG, Staub JJ. Impaired action of thyroid hormone associated with smoking in women with hypothyroidism. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333:964-969.
102. Van den Berghe G, de Zegher F, Veldhuis JD, et al. Thyrotrophin and prolactin release in prolonged critical illness: dynamics of spontaneous secretion and effects of growth hormone-secretagogues. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 1977;47:599-612.
103. Sumita S, Ujike Y, Namiki A, et al. Suppression of the thyrotropin response to thyrotropin-releasing hormone and its association with severity of critical illness. *Crit Care Med*. 1994;22:1603-1609.
104. Christ-Crain M, Meier C, Roth CB, Huber P, Staub JJ, Muller B. Basal TSH levels compared with TRH-stimulated TSH levels to diagnose different degrees of TSH suppression: diagnostic and therapeutic impact of assay performance. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2002;32:931-937.
105. Adriaanse R, Romijn JA, Brabant G, Ender E, Wiersinga WM. Pulsatile thyrotropin secretion in nonthyroidal illness. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1993;77:1313-1317.
106. Romijn JA, Wiersinga WM. Decreased nocturnal surge of thyrotropin in nonthyroidal illness. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1990;70:35-42.
107. Fliers E, Guldenaar SE, Wiersinga WM, Swaab DF. Decreased hypothalamic thyrotropin-releasing hormone gene expression in patients with nonthyroidal illness. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;82:4032-4036.
108. Van den Berghe G, de Zegher F, Baxter RC, et al. Neuroendocrinology of prolonged critical illness: effects of exogenous thyrotropin-releasing hormone and its combination with growth hormone secretagogues. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1998;83:309-319.
109. Zulewski H, Muller B, Exer P, Miserez AR, Staub JJ. Estimation of tissue hypothyroidism by a new clinical score: evaluation of patients with various grades of hypothyroidism and controls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;82:771-776.
110. Brent GA, Hershman JM, Braunstein GD. Patients with severe nonthyroidal illness and serum thyrotropin concentrations in the hypothyroid range. *Am J Med*. 1986;81:463-466.
111. Samuels MH, McDaniel PA. Thyrotropin levels during hydrocortisone infusions that mimic fasting-induced cortisol elevations: a clinical research center study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;82:3700-3704.
112. Van den Berghe G, de Zegher F, Lauwers P. Dopamine and the sick euthyroid syndrome in critical illness. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 1994;41:731-737.
113. Burch HB. Abnormal thyroid function tests results in practice of thyroid persons. In: Becker KL, ed. *Principles and Practice of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:351-360.
114. Parle JV, Maisonneuve P, Sheppard MC, Boyle P, Franklyn JA. Prediction of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in elderly people from one low serum thyrotropin result: a 10-year cohort study. *Lancet*. 2001;358:861-865.
115. Mortimer CH, Anderson DC, Liendo-Ch P, et al. Thyrotoxicosis: relations between clinical state and biochemical changes during carbimazole treatment. *Br Med J*. 1977;1:138-141.
116. Chapdelaine A, Coulombe R, LeLorier J. The effects of propranolol, prazolol, and placebo on the clinical manifestations of thyrotoxicosis. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Biopharm*. 1976;14:308-312.
117. Kvetny J, Frederiksen PK, Jacobsen JG, Haas V, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Date J. Propranolol in the treatment of thyrotoxicosis. A randomized double-blind study. *Acta Med Scand*. 1981;209:389-392.
118. Bogazzi F, Miccoli P, Berti P, et al. Preparation with iopanoic acid rapidly controls thyrotoxicosis in patients with amiodarone-induced thyrotoxicosis before thyroidectomy. *Surgery*. 2002;132:1114-1117.
119. Braga M, Cooper DS. Clinical review 129: oral cholecystographic agents and the thyroid. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;86:1853-1860.
120. De Groot LJ. Dangerous dogmas in medicine: the nonthyroidal illness syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1999;84:151-164.
121. Nylen ES, Zaloga GP, Becker KL, et al. Endocrine therapeutics in critical illness. In: Becker KL, ed. *The Principles and Practice of Endocrinology and Metabolism*.

- Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:2108-2121.
122. Burmeister LA. Reverse T3 does not reliably differentiate hypothyroid sick syndrome from euthyroid sick syndrome. *Thyroid*. 1995;5:435-441.
 123. Brent GA, Hershman JM. Thyroxine therapy in patients with severe nonthyroidal illnesses and low serum thyroxine concentration. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1986;63:1-8.
 124. Bennett-Guerrero E, Jimenez JL, White WD, D'Amico EB, Baldwin BJ, Schwinn DA. Cardiovascular effects of intravenous triiodothyronine in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Duke T3 study group. *JAMA*. 1996;275:687-692.
 125. Klemperer JD, Klein I, Gomez M, et al. Thyroid hormone treatment after coronary-artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333:1522-1527.
 126. Takala J, Ruokonen E, Webster NR. Increased mortality with growth hormone treatment in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:785-792.
 127. Tannenbaum GS, Epelbaum J, Bowers CY. Interrelationship between the novel peptide ghrelin and somatostatin/growth hormone-releasing hormone in regulation of pulsatile growth hormone secretion. *Endocrinology*. 2003;144:967-974.
 128. Van Den Berghe GH. Neuroendocrine response to acute versus prolonged critical illness. In: Becker KL, ed. *The Principles and Practice of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:2094-2102.
 129. Mao Y, Ling PR, Fitzgibbons TP, et al. Endotoxin-induced inhibition of growth hormone receptor signaling in rat liver in vivo. *Endocrinology*. 1999;140:5505-5515.
 130. Defalque D, Brandt N, Ketelslegers JM, Thissen JP. Growth hormone insensitivity induced by endotoxin injection is associated with decreased liver growth hormone receptors. *Am J Physiol*. 1999;276:E565-E572.
 131. Yumet G, Shumate ML, Bryant P, Lin CM, Lang CH, Cooney RN. Tumor necrosis factor mediates hepatic growth hormone resistance during sepsis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;283:E472-E481.
 132. Van Den Berghe G, Baxter RC, Weekers F, et al. The combined administration of GH-releasing peptide-2 (GHRP-2), TRH and GnRH to men with prolonged critical illness evokes superior endocrine and metabolic effects compared to treatment with GHRP-2 alone. *Clin Endocrinol*. 2002;56:655-669.
 133. Boger RH, Skamira C, Bode-Boger SM, Brabant G, von zur Muhlen A, Frolich JC. Nitric oxide may mediate the hemodynamic effects of recombinant growth hormone in patients with acquired growth hormone deficiency. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Invest*. 1996;98(12):2706-2713.
 134. Bengtsson BA, Koppeschaar HPF, Abs R, et al. Growth hormone replacement therapy is not associated with any increased mortality. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1999;84:4291.
 135. Liljedahl S, Gemzell C, Plantin L, Birke G. Effect of human growth hormone in patients with severe burns. *Acta Chirurgica Scand*. 1961;122:1-14.
 136. Sherman SK, Denlinger RH, LaLonde C, et al. Growth hormone enhances re-epithelialization of human split skin grafts donor sites. *Surg Forum*. 1989;40:37-39.
 137. Herndon DN, Barrow RE, Kunkle KR, et al. Effects of recombinant growth hormone on donor site healing in severely burned children. *Ann Surg*. 1990;212:424-429.
 138. Chrysopoulos MT, Jeschke MG, Ramirez RJ, et al. Growth hormone attenuates tumor necrosis factor alpha in burned children. *Arch Surg*. 1999;134:283-286.
 139. Kissmeyer-Nielsen P, Jensen MB, Laurberg S. Perioperative growth hormone treatment and functional outcome after major abdominal surgery: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Ann Surg*. 1999;229:298-302.
 140. Voerman HJ, van Schijndel RJ, Groeneveld AB, et al. Effects of recombinant human growth hormone in patients with severe sepsis. *Ann Surg*. 1992;216:648-655.
 141. Koea JB, Brier BH, Douglas RG, et al. Anabolic and cardiovascular effects of human growth hormone in surgical patients with sepsis. *Br J Surg*. 1990;78:196-202.
 142. Ramirez RJ, Wolf SE, Barrow RE, Herndon DN. Growth hormone in pediatric burns: a safe therapeutic approach. *Ann Surg*. 1998;228:439-442.
 143. Beuschlein F, Strasburger CJ, Siegerstetter V, et al. Acromegaly caused by secretion of growth hormone by a non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:1871-1876.
 144. Kotzmann H, Koller M, Czernin S, et al. Effect of elevated growth hormone concentrations on the phenotype and functions of human lymphocytes and natural killer cells. *Neuroendocrinology*. 1994;60:618-625.
 145. Kelley KW. The role of growth hormone in modulation of the immune response. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1990;594:95-103.
 146. Arnold RE, Weigent DA. The production of nitric oxide in EL4 lymphoma cells overexpressing growth hormone. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2003;134(1-2):82-94.
 147. Savino W, Smaniotto S, De Mello-Coelho V, Darlenne M. Is there a role for growth hormone upon intrathymic T-cell migration? *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2000;917:748-754.
 148. Mitsuoka H, Dobashi H, Sato M, et al. Growth hormone prevents Fas-induced apoptosis in lymphocytes through modulation of Bcl-2 and caspase-3. *Neuroimmunomodulation*. 2001;9:256-262.
 149. Liu Z, Yu Y, Jiang Y, Li J. Growth hormone increases circulating neutrophil activation and provokes lung microvascular injury in septic peritonitis rats. *J Surg Res*. 2002;105:195-199.
 150. Liu Z, Yu Y, Jiang Y, Li J. Growth hormone increases lung NF- κ B activation and lung microvascular injury induced by lipopolysaccharide in rats. *Ann Clin Lab Sci*. 2002;32:164-170.
 151. Liao W, Rudling M, Angelin B. Growth hormone potentiates the in vivo biological activities of endotoxin in the rat. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 1996;26(3):254-258.
 152. Jung SE, Youn YK, Lim YS, Song HG, Rhee JE, Suh GJ. Combined administration of glutamine and growth hormone synergistically reduces bacterial translocation in sepsis. *Korean Med Sci*. 2003;18:17-22.
 153. O'Leary MJ, Ferguson CN, Rennie M, Hinds CJ, Coakley JH, Preedy VR. Effect of growth hormone on muscle and liver protein synthesis in septic rats receiving glutamine-enriched parenteral nutrition. *Crit Care Med*. 2002;30:1099-1105.
 154. Unneberg K, Balteskard L, Mjaaland M, Revhaug A. Growth hormone impaired compensation of hemorrhagic shock after trauma and sepsis in swine. *J Trauma-Injury Inf Crit Care*. 1996;41:775-780.
 155. Gustafsson JA, Mode A, Norstedt G. The hypothalamic-pituitary-liver axis: a new hormonal system in control of hepatic steroid and drug metabolism. *Biochem Action Horm*. 1980;7:47-54.
 156. Jurgens G, Lange KH, Reuther LO, Rasmussen BB, Brosen K, Christensen HR. Effect of growth hormone on hepatic cytochrome P450 activity in healthy elderly men. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2002;71(3):162-168.
 157. Jaffe CA, Turgeon DK, Lown K, Dermott-Friberg R, Watkins PB. Growth hormone secretion pattern is an independent regulator of growth hormone actions in humans. *Am J Physiol Metab*. 2002;283:E1008-E1015.
 158. Weissberger AJ, Anastasiadis AD, Sturgess J, Martin FC, Smith MA, Sonksen PH. Recombinant human growth hormone treatment in elderly patients undergoing elective total hip replacement. *Clin Endocrinol*. 2003;58:99-107.